DECLARATION OF ERIC. M. LIEBERMAN

I, ERIC M. LIEBERMAN, declare:

1. I reside at 280 Riverside Drive, in the City of New York, State of New York. I am an attorney in the law firm of Rabinowitz, Boudin, Standard, Krinsky & Lieberman, P.C. My law office address is 740 Broadway, New York, New York 10003.

2. I am an attorney in good standing duly admitted to practice in the following courts: The United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, Since 1974; the Supreme Court of the State of New York, First Division, since 1974; The Supreme Judicial Court of the State of Massachusetts, since 1971; The United States Courts of Appeals for, <u>inter alia</u>, the Second Circuit (since 1973) and the Ninth Circuit (since 1985); and the Supreme Court of the United States, since 1974. I currently am not and never have been under suspension nor been subject to any discipinary actions.

3. In the preceding two years, I have filed an aplication to appear as counsel <u>pro hac vice</u> in the following case: <u>Vicki</u> <u>J. Aznaran et al. v. Church of Scientology of California, et al.</u>, No. CV 88-1876 JMI (Ex) United States District Court, Central District of California). The application ws submitted on June 17, 1988 and was granted on June 20, 1988.

4. If admitted in this action, I will appear in association with California attorney Timothy Bowles, Bowles & Moxon, whose address is 6255 Sunset Boulevard, Suite 2000, Hollywood, California 90028, and whose telephone number is (213) 661-4030. 5. Having been attorney of record in the appeal from the judgment in this case, <u>Church of Scientology of California v.</u> <u>Gerald Armstrong</u>, No. B 009771 (Court of Appeal, Second District; <u>pro hac vice</u> application granted August 9, 1985), I am familiar with this file and the issues before this Court. It would best serve the interests of the parties and this Court if I be allowed to enter this appearance.

Executed on December 19, 1988, at New York, New York. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California and New York that the foregoing is true and correct.

En M Leberman